

2019 Current Fiscal Year Report: Missouri River--North Dakota Task Force

Report Run Date: 06/26/2019 07:06:08 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2019

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Missouri River--North Dakota Task Force

3b. GSA Committee No.

21422

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

06/13/2014

6. Expected Renewal Date

06/13/2016

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

No

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Title VII- Water Res Develop Act 2000 (PL 106-541)

13. Effective Date

12/01/2000

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 0

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee has found it difficult to recommend projects in compliance with implementation guidance. To comply with the Missouri River Protection and Improvement Act of 2000, Title VII of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, the Missouri River (North Dakota) Task Force shall advise the Secretary of the Army on a plan and projects to reduce siltation of the Missouri River in the State of North Dakota and to meet the objectives of the Pick-Sloan program. The Task Force will promote conservation practices in the Missouri River watershed, control and remove sediment from the Missouri River, protect recreation on the Missouri River from sedimentation, and protect Indian and non-Indian historical and cultural sites along the Missouri River from erosion. They will also develop and make recommendations for the implementation of critical restoration projects that meet the goals of the plan and determine if these projects primarily benefit the Federal Government.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership is prescribed by statute, but represents a cross section of representatives from the federal, state and local government as well Indian tribes and private interests. Members are nominated by ND governor and tribal chairmen.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Task Force did not meet in FY16/17 because it lacks sufficient membership to meet at this time.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

To comply with P.L. 106-541, the Missouri River Protection and Improvement Act of 2000. There is no existing organization meeting the requirements of the Act.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

There were no committee activities during FY17. The Task Force was administratively suspended at the request of the DoD Advisory Committee Management Officer on 10/7/2016. The Task Force lacks sufficient membership to operate at this time and, as such, the DoD proposed to administratively suspend the Task Force until the Governor of North Dakota recommends individuals for appointment consideration by the Secretary of Defense.

Designated Federal Officer

Gwyn Jarrett DFO

Narrative Description

Committee will prepare a plan to address siltation, bank erosion and other issues in the Missouri River basin in North Dakota in accordance with Title VII of the WRDA 2000 (PL 106-541)

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

Task Force is still in discussion and planning phases so no cost savings have yet been realized.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

The committee has yet to make a formal recommendation. Implementation Guidance was received September 26, 2011. The task force has been unable to identify potential projects in compliance with implementation guidance.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Committee has yet to make a formal recommendation. The task force has been unable to identify potential projects in compliance with implementation guidance.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Committee has yet to make a formal recommendation. The task force has been unable to identify potential projects in compliance with implementation guidance.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

NA

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Reorganized Priorities

Checked if Applies

☐

Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

USGS completed the geomorphology study December 2014 and presented information to agencies May, 2015.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A